


www.ifrc.org  
Saving lives,  
changing minds.

# Emergency appeal operations update 4

## Myanmar: Floods

 International Federation  
of Red Cross and Red Crescent Societies

<b>Emergency appeal n° MDRMM006</b>	<b>GLIDE n° <a href="#">FL-2015-000080-MMR</a> &amp; <a href="#">FL-2015-000089-MMR</a></b>
<b>Date of issue: 30 June 2016</b> <b>Operations update n° 4</b>	<b>Timeframe covered by this update: 5 February to 31 May 2016</b>
<b>Operation start date: 11 August 2015</b>	<b>Operation end date: 30 September 2016</b>
<b>Appeal budget: CHF 3,275,817</b> <b>DREF allocated: CHF 298,478</b>	<b>Appeal coverage<sup>1</sup>: CHF 3,234,098 (99%)</b> <b><i>Click <a href="#">here</a> for the latest donor response</i></b>
<b>Current expenditure: CHF 2,637,755</b>	<b>Funding gap: CHF 41,719 (1%)</b>
<b>N° of people being assisted (<i>revised</i>): 58,000 people (11,133 households)</b>	
<b>Host National Society presence (n° of volunteers, staff, branches):</b> The Myanmar Red Cross Society (MRCS) has 30,000 active volunteers and 321 staff in its headquarters and 334 branches.	
<b>Red Cross Red Crescent Movement partners actively involved in the operation:</b> The International Federation of Red Cross and Red Crescent Societies (IFRC), the International Committee of the Red Cross (ICRC) and a number of Partner National Societies are supporting MRCS in its response. Partner National Societies who have supported the response through the IFRC appeal are American Red Cross, Australian Red Cross, British Red Cross, the Canadian Red Cross Society, Danish Red Cross, Finnish Red Cross, Japanese Red Cross Society, the Netherlands Red Cross, Norwegian Red Cross, Red Cross of Monaco and Swedish Red Cross. Some Partner National Societies with in-country presence have also supported MRCS directly outside the IFRC appeal. In addition to providing support via the IFRC appeal, Danish Red Cross provided bilateral support to MRCS. The Qatar Red Crescent Society, Singapore Red Cross Society and the Thai Red Cross Society have extended bilateral support to MRCS.	
The IFRC appeal operation has also been supported by various governments, some of which have directed the donations via their National Societies. Specifically, donations have been received from the governments of Canada, Denmark Finland, Italy, the Netherlands, New Zealand, Norway and Poland. Private donors in 96 countries – across Africa, Americas, Asia Pacific, Europe and Middle East and North Africa – have made online contributions.	
<b>Other partner organizations actively involved in the operation:</b>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Government ministries and agencies:</b> Including the Ministry of Social Welfare, Relief and Resettlement Department (RRD), Ministry of Foreign Affairs, Ministry of Health and Emergency Operations Centre (EOC)</li> <li>• <b>Technical clusters:</b> Shelter/NFI/CCCM, WASH and Health</li> <li>• <b>UN agencies:</b> Including UN OCHA, WFP, UNHCR, UNICEF and UNFPA</li> <li>• <b>International organizations &amp; NGOs:</b> Including IOM, ASEAN Coordinating Centre for Humanitarian Assistance on disaster management (AHA Centre), Danish Refugee Council, Norwegian Refugee Council and Save the Children</li> </ul>	

### Summary:

This update provides an overview of the progress made during nine months of the operation with focus on the latest three months considering that operations update no. 3 was an account of the first six month of operations.

The timeframe of the operation has been extended by one month, due to be completed by 30 September 2016. The no-cost is to allow sufficient time to undertake an end-line evaluation of the operation as well as to provide a smooth transition of disaster risk reduction and National Society capacity enhancement activities into the Operational Plan 2017, in which the activities will continue in the context of linking relief, recovery and development.

<sup>1</sup> Hard and soft pledges, and in-kind donations.

The appeal was launched following floods that affected several parts of Myanmar in July and August 2015. The floods were wrought by heavy monsoon rains coupled with high winds and heavy rain from Cyclone Komen which passed nearby on 30 July 2015, bringing strong winds and additional heavy rains to the country, which resulted in widespread flooding and landslides across 12 of the country's 14 states and regions.

Six months on from the devastating floods that struck Myanmar, around 400,000 people have received emergency assistance and support for their recovery from MRCS and its partners in the International Red Cross and Red Crescent Movement. At its peak, the floods affected over 9 million people across 12 of Myanmar's 14 states and regions. The floods temporarily displaced over 1.7 million people and destroyed 15,000 homes as well as more than 840,000 acres of agricultural crops.

Between July 2015 and January 2016, over 1,400 Red Cross volunteers and staff from MRCS and Partner National Societies assisted flood-affected people across the country. The first phase included evacuations, providing emergency relief such as purified water, food, household items and shelter materials. Since then, efforts have been focused on supporting the longer term recovery of flood-affected communities across the five worst-hit regions of Chin, Rakhine, Sagaing, Magway and Ayeyarwady with livelihood activities, cleaning of contaminated ponds and wells, and infrastructure rehabilitation.

The reporting period is characterized by finalizing and ensuring that the activities in the plan are initiated and monitored. The cash transfer programme (CTP) intervention was finalized and a lessons learned workshop was conducted, to be able to build on the achievements made and to look at how these lessons could be put in practice in other programming.

## Coordination and partnerships

### Movement coordination

The MRCS, IFRC, and ICRC agreed to respond in a coordinated manner, and a Movement Statement was issued on 5 August 2015 to this effect. MRCS is responsible for the overall coordination and implementation of the response with the support of ICRC and IFRC; ICRC is supporting MRCS operations in Rakhine state while IFRC is supporting the response – both financially and technically – in the rest of the country.

The MRCS operation continues, utilizing four approaches. Firstly, IFRC-supported interventions cover affected areas in Sagaing, Magway and Chin states. Secondly, ICRC-supported interventions cover the Rakhine state. Thirdly, interventions supported by Partner National Societies working with MRCS through bilateral arrangements have covered locations where the supporting Partner National Societies have ongoing programmes and or have been complementary in areas where both IFRC and ICRC are supporting the National Society. Lastly, MRCS is also undertaking interventions using resources it has mobilized locally and/or from non-Movement sources with recovery interventions covering Magway and Ayeyarwady. For the recovery phase, the scope of the IFRC appeal operation is now on Chin and Sagaing states.



Swe Zin Htay from Nat Nann village who is a recipient of the livelihood support in Kalay (Photo: MRCS)

From the start of the operation, regular Movement coordination meetings were organized by MRCS, with IFRC, ICRC and Partner National Societies with presence in Myanmar. The MRCS flood operation cell was organized and regular meetings were held twice a week in the beginning of the operations. Coordination meetings continue but at a more spaced interval.

The IFRC Asia Pacific Regional Office (APRO) in Kuala Lumpur, facilitated updates on MRCS response and planned activities to multilateral Movement partners. Further, the APRO also facilitated deployment of specialists to provide surge support to the operation. Movement partners such as the American Red Cross, Danish Red Cross, Swedish Red Cross and Qatar Red Crescent Society have also provided support to this operation.

### **Coordinating with authorities**

At the township and state levels, MRCS works in coordination with the local authorities such as the General Administration Department and other agencies providing support to the affected people. The Department of Meteorology and Hydrology shares regular weather updates with MRCS, which supports the operations team to track potential flooding in various parts of the country. MRCS is also in coordination with the RRD of the Ministry of Social Welfare, the Ministry of Foreign Affairs, the Ministry of Health, the national EOC, and the newly-formed National Recovery Coordination Committee (NRCC). Since the transition to recovery, the government has initiated a series of recovery planning workshops, under the guidance of the Minister of Construction and the NRCC. MRCS is actively engaged in these planning forums.

In the early phase of the response, MRCS had a liaison officer attached to the national EOC to coordinate with RRD, along with UN OCHA, Myanmar Information Management Unit, Japan International Cooperation Agency and some INGOs. Various ministries, national and international humanitarian organizations are providing information about their responses to the national EOC. The national EOC team is coordinating the response and early recovery including information dissemination, communication, mapping and distribution of relief goods based on the information received from various government departments.

### **Inter-agency coordination**

MRCS and IFRC share assessment information and operational updates with UN OCHA, relevant clusters and working groups. Sharing of statistics on distributions and the number of people reached helps in ensuring that there is no duplication of efforts and that there are synergies between various agencies.

IFRC and MRCS attend meetings with the various clusters and working groups as well as those of the Humanitarian Country Team (HCT), in Nay Pyi Taw, Yangon and Sittwe. MRCS, IFRC and ICRC have an observer status in the HCT and regularly attend HCT meetings including during non-disaster times.

IFRC and ICRC attended cash working group meetings which was led by WFP. MRCS, IFRC and ICRC have also attended several high-level meetings and workshops on response and recovery planning.

Furthermore, MRCS has hosted several high-level field visits of heads of UN agencies, donors and ambassadors for them to witness the National Society's flood response work. The high-level field visits have contributed to raising the profile of MRCS and enhancing broader understanding of its mandate and capacity.

### **Shelter cluster coordination**

The shelter cluster in Myanmar is usually led by UNHCR. However, given the extensive damage wrought by the floods, IFRC was requested to deploy a shelter coordination team (SCT) to complement the UNHCR-led cluster capacity at the sub-national level, specifically in areas outside Rakhine state. Honouring its commitment to be co-convenor of the shelter cluster, IFRC deployed a SCT comprising two coordinators and one information management delegate. The team was exclusively dedicated to the task of cluster coordination, independent of MRCS/IFRC operations although it was resourced using funds mobilized via the IFRC emergency appeal.

The team was hosted by the IFRC country office and supported the coordination of various shelter cluster activities in Chin state, and Sagaing and Magway regions. During its deployment, the SCT-organized shelter partner coordination meetings, coordinated the harmonization of shelter assistance packages and establishment of minimum standards, undertook mapping of partners' areas of operation, commitments and gap analysis, attended cross-sectorial meetings and supported the development of a shelter response strategy. The SCT ended its mission in December 2015 and shelter cluster coordination work in all parts reverted to UNHCR.

## **Operational implementation**

### **Overview**


Being auxiliary to the public authorities, established on the basis of a law which was revised in August 2015, MRCS provides significant support in responding to disasters, from small to large scale. MRCS has consistently been a first responder to disasters, and its wide branch network with active volunteers supported by staff from headquarters, makes it the largest national humanitarian organization in Myanmar. The National Society has been at the forefront of the flood response from the outset and assisted in the evacuation of more than 380,000 people from flood-hit areas.

Subsequently, the National Society undertook relief efforts, with support of its Movement partners, reaching over 90,200 people across all 12 affected regions with some form of material or cash assistance. In the recovery phase, the main focus has been on distribution of unconditional cash grants, community based health and first aid, and latrine construction. Having reached the halfway point, the operation is well on track and the aim is to have most of the implementation – at the community level – completed before the rainy season starts in May/June.

During the reporting period, the main focus has been on cash distribution with unconditional grants as well as health and first aid training, and latrine construction.

<b>Quality programming</b>			
<b>Outcome 1: Continuous and detailed assessment and analysis is used to inform the design and implementation of the operation</b>	<b>Outputs</b>		<b>% of achievement</b>
	<b>Output 1.1:</b> Needs assessments are conducted and response plans updated according to findings		100%
<b>Activities</b>	<b>Is implementation on time?</b>		<b>% progress (estimate)</b>
	<b>Yes (x)</b>	<b>No (x)</b>	
1.1.1 Mobilize staff and volunteers for assessments (including Movement Partners' resources)	x		100%
1.1.2 Undertake assessments to determine specific needs of beneficiaries	x		100%
1.1.3 Develop detailed response plans with activities that will meet identified beneficiary needs	x		100%
<b>Outcome 1: Continuous and detailed assessment and analysis is used to inform the design and implementation of the operation</b>	<b>Outputs</b>		<b>% of achievement</b>
	<b>Output 1.2:</b> Additional assistance is considered where appropriate and incorporated into the plan		100%
<b>Activities</b>	<b>Is implementation on time?</b>		<b>% progress (estimate)</b>
	<b>Yes (x)</b>	<b>No (x)</b>	
1.2.1 Ensure that any adjustments to initial plans are informed by continuous assessment of needs	x		100%
1.2.2 Conduct post-action surveys to determine the level of satisfaction among beneficiaries	x		100%
<b>Outcome 1: Continuous and detailed assessment and analysis is used to inform the design and implementation of the operation</b>	<b>Outputs</b>		<b>% of achievement</b>
	<b>Output 1.3:</b> Mechanisms are in place to facilitate two-way communication with and ensure transparency and accountability to disaster-affected people		100%
<b>Activities</b>	<b>Is implementation on time?</b>		<b>% progress (estimate)</b>
	<b>Yes (x)</b>	<b>No (x)</b>	
1.3.1 Provide appropriate information, including on the scope and content of projects, to disaster-affected people	x		Continuous
1.3.2 Ensure that affected people can deliver feedback on the programmes and report any complaints, in confidence, and that such are actioned by MRCS and its partners	x		Continuous
<b>Outcome 1: Continuous and detailed assessment and analysis is used to inform the design and implementation of the operation</b>	<b>Outputs</b>		<b>% of achievement</b>
	<b>Output 1.4:</b> Management and delivery of the operation is informed by an appropriate monitoring and evaluation system		Continuous
<b>Activities</b>	<b>Is implementation on time?</b>		<b>% progress (estimate)</b>
	<b>Yes (x)</b>	<b>No (x)</b>	
1.4.1 Develop and utilize an appropriate M&E system to support monitoring of the operation progress, including the final evaluation and lessons learnt activities	x		Continuous
<b>Progress towards outcomes</b>			
The beneficiary feedback mechanism has continued to work well in the CTP programme, where logs have been kept to follow up complaints lodged and volunteers manning a phone hotline. MRCS is continuously following the programme activities and monitoring progress to be able to adjust the implementation. One example is the water, sanitation and hygiene (WASH) interventions as this is assessed as a higher risk due to the fragile internal and external HR situation.			

<b>Immediate household needs, shelter and settlements</b>			
<b>Outcome 2: The immediate household, shelter and settlement needs of the target population are met.</b>	<b>Outputs</b>		<b>% of achievement</b>
	<b>Output 2.1:</b> Target populations are provided with essential household (non-food) items		100%
<b>Activities</b>	<b>Is implementation on time?</b>		<b>% progress (estimate)</b>
	<b>Yes (x)</b>	<b>No (x)</b>	
2.1.1 Dispatch essential household items from warehouses (Yangon, Nay Pyi Taw, Mandalay) to affected areas	x		100%
2.1.2 Select target households according to set criteria and prepare beneficiary lists	x		100%
2.1.3 Distribute essential household items (including family kits and kitchen sets)	x		100%
<b>Outcome 2: The immediate household, shelter and settlement needs of the target population are met.</b>	<b>Outputs</b>		<b>% of achievement</b>
	<b>Output 2.2:</b> Target populations are provided with emergency shelter materials (shelter tool kits, tarpaulins)		100%
<b>Activities</b>	<b>Is implementation on time?</b>		<b>% progress (estimate)</b>
	<b>Yes (x)</b>	<b>No (x)</b>	
2.2.1 Dispatch emergency shelter items from warehouses (Yangon, Nay Pyi Taw, Mandalay) to affected areas	x		100%
2.2.2 Select target households according to set criteria and prepare beneficiary lists	x		100%
2.2.3 Distribute emergency shelter items (tarpaulins, shelter tool kits, and community shelter tool kits)	x		100%
<b>Outcome 3: Remaining urgent needs of targeted populations are met</b>	<b>Outputs</b>		<b>% of achievement</b>
	<b>Output 3.1:</b> Target populations are provided with unconditional cash grants for meeting priority household needs		100%
<b>Activities</b>	<b>Is implementation on time?</b>		<b>% progress (estimate)</b>
	<b>Yes (x)</b>	<b>No (x)</b>	
3.1.1 Conduct market survey in project areas to ensure appropriate access to market and availability of essential items	x		100%
3.1.2 Select target households according to set criteria and prepare beneficiary lists	x		100%
3.1.3 Design encashment process and train staff and volunteers on it	x		100%
3.1.4 Distribute unconditional cash grants to target households	x		100%
3.1.5 Conduct post-distribution monitoring on the usage of cash transfers (covering at least 10% of target households)	x		100%
<b>Progress towards outcomes</b>			
<p>Technical logistics support has been provided to MRCS by the APRO Regional Logistics Unit (RLU) in Kuala Lumpur. The Myanmar Country Office is in charge of the local procurement, whereas international procurement for blankets, tarpaulins, mosquito nets and jerry cans has been carried out by the RLU. Sourcing and procuring relief items is carried out in compliance with IFRC standard processes and procedures to ensure quality and timely delivery. The government of Finland through Finnish Red Cross donated 3,000 tarpaulins to this operation through in-kind donation.</p> <p>Key achievements on these outcomes include the successful conclusion of the distribution in phase 2 of the unconditional recovery cash grants, and in total, 2,289 households have received grants to ensure that approximately 70% of their recovery needs have been met. In the upcoming months, a follow up on the impact of the intervention will be done. A lessons learned workshop was held to guide MRCS in their future work with CTP in both emergencies and long term programming</p>			

Food security, nutrition and livelihoods			
Outcome 4: Contribute to ensuring food security of displaced urban populations	Outputs		% of achievement
		<b>Output 4.1:</b> Target populations are provided with essential food items	
Activities	Is implementation on time?		% progress (estimate)
	Yes (x)	No (x)	
4.1.1 Procure rice in nearest urban centre outside of Chin state	x		100%
4.1.2 Coordinate with GoM for transport of rice to Hakha, Chin state	x		100%
4.1.3 In coordination with RRD, prepare beneficiary list and distribute rice to displaced population	x		100%
Outcome 5: Economic security of the targeted worst-affected households is restored	Outputs		% of achievement
	<b>Output 5.1:</b> Households restart income generating activities		90%
Activities	Is implementation on time?		% progress (estimate)
	Yes (x)	No (x)	
5.1.1 Consult and agree the criteria for selection of target households (through a participatory process)	x		100%
5.1.2 Select beneficiaries, prepare beneficiary lists and engage target households to sensitize them on distribution process	x		100%
5.1.3 Distribute unconditional cash grants to target households	x		100%
5.1.4 Conduct post-distribution monitoring on the usage of cash transfers (covering at least 10% of target households)	x		100%
5.1.5 Provide weaving looms and/or sewing machines to target women and people with disabilities	x		100%
5.1.6 Provide small business skills training to people provided with weaving looms and/or sewing machines	x		100%
5.1.7 Undertake surveys on household income/expenditure of women provided with weaving looms and/or sewing machines	x		50%
Progress towards outcomes			
Key achievement on these outcomes for the reporting period aside from the CTP mentioned above include finalization of a focused livelihood intervention. 50 weaving looms and 150 sewing machines have been distributed focusing on women. On use of the sewing machines, there has been a linkage with vocational training centres, to ensure that skills are built.			
Handover of sewing machines in Chin State with State Minister looking on (Photo: MRCS)			
Health & care			
Outcome 6: The immediate and medium-term risks to the health of floods affected population are reduced	Outputs		% of achievement
	<b>Output 6.1:</b> The health situation and immediate risks are assessed using agreed guidelines		100%
Activities	Is implementation on time?		% progress (estimate)
	Yes (x)	No (x)	
6.1.1 Refresher training on epidemic control for volunteers (ECV)	x		100%
6.1.2 Deploy ECV-trained volunteers with toolkits to affected townships	x		100%
6.1.3 Undertake community health assessments	x		To be reported in the final report
6.1.4 Distribute mosquito nets to affected households in malaria endemic areas	x		100%
6.1.5 Distribute ORS to affected households	x		100%

Outcome 6: The immediate and medium-term risks to the health of floods affected population are reduced	Outputs		% of achievement
		<b>Output 6.2</b> Target population is provided with rapid medical management of injuries and diseases	
Activities	Is implementation on time?		% progress (estimate)
	Yes (x)	No (x)	
6.2.1 Provide first aid kits to affected townships for delivery of first aid services in communities	x		85%
Outcome 6: The immediate and medium-term risks to the health of floods affected population are reduced	Outputs		% of achievement
		<b>Output 6.3</b> Community-based disease prevention and health promotion is provided to the target population	
			85%
Activities	Is implementation on time?		% progress (estimate)
	Yes (x)	No (x)	
6.3.1 Organize health education sessions in communities	x		85%
6.3.2 Reprint and distribute health promotion materials	x		100%
Outcome 6: The immediate and medium-term risks to the health of floods affected population are reduced	Outputs		% of achievement
		<b>Output 6.4:</b> Psychosocial needs of the affected populations are met	
			N/A
Activities	Is implementation on time?		% progress (estimate)
	Yes (x)	No (x)	
6.4.1 Organize refresher trainings for PSS volunteers in affected states and regions		x	Part of the first aid training
6.4.2 Provide PSS kits to the trained volunteers upon completion of PSS refresher trainings		x	To be reported in the final report
6.4.3 Organize psychosocial activities in displaced persons facilities and affected communities		x	Cancelled
6.4.4 Conduct peer support sessions and organize 'rest and recreation' days and team building activities for staff and volunteers		x	100%
Progress towards outcomes			
<p>The first aid and health community trainings that incorporate psychosocial support (PSS) are ongoing where trainers are doing community sessions according to the multiplier plan. In these community sessions, first aid, health and PSS sessions were conducted as one in order to optimize the use of the small number of volunteers. In health, the focus was on waterborne diseases, vector borne diseases (such as malaria and dengue), hygiene promotion as well as HIV and tuberculosis. So far, 39 sessions reaching around 1,170 people has been completed. Consolidated information on health assessments and provision of first aid kits will be reported in the final report.</p>			
Water, sanitation and hygiene promotion			
Outcome 7: The immediate reduction in risk of waterborne and water related diseases in targeted communities	Outputs		% of achievement
		<b>Output 7.1:</b> Daily access to safe water which meets Sphere and WHO standards in terms of quantity and quality is provided to target population	
			100%
Activities	Is implementation on time?		% progress (estimate)
	Yes (x)	No (x)	
7.1.1 Distribute jerry cans (two per family) and water purification tablets to affected households	x		100%
7.1.2 Provide safe drinking water in displaced people camps through water purification units, treatment and distribution	N/A		Cancelled
7.1.3 Conduct sessions on household water treatment to those provided with water purification tablets	x		100%
Outcome 7: The immediate reduction in risk of waterborne and water related diseases in targeted communities	Outputs		% of achievement
		<b>Output 7.2</b> Hygiene promotion activities which meet Sphere standards in terms of the identification and use of hygiene items provided to target population	
			100%

Activities	Is implementation on time?		% progress (estimate)
	Yes (x)	No (x)	
7.2.1 Mobilize locally recruited volunteers and train them on conducting hygiene promotion	x		100%
7.2.2 Reproduce information, education and communication (IEC) materials for hygiene promotion	x		100%
7.2.3 Conduct hygiene promotion activities in the displaced people camps and schools	x		100%
7.2.4 Distribute hygiene kits, hygiene parcels and dignity kits to affected populations to reinforce safe hygiene practices	x		100%
7.2.5 Monitor hygiene practices in target communities, including correct use of latrines and household water treatment	N/A		Part of 8.2.1 and 8.2.5
<b>Outcome 8: Sustainable reduction in risk of waterborne and water related diseases in targeted communities</b>	<b>Outputs</b>		<b>% of achievement</b>
	<b>Output 8.1:</b> Increased access to safe and sustainable water is provided to target population		Cancelled
Activities	Is implementation on time?		% progress (estimate)
	Yes (x)	No (x)	
8.1.1 Undertake detailed assessments to select damaged community water supply systems for rehabilitation	x		100%
8.1.2 Procure and distribute materials, or the equivalent in cash, for rehabilitation of water supply systems	N/A		Cancelled
8.1.3 Mobilize community members to support rehabilitation of community water supply systems	N/A		Cancelled
8.1.4 Monitor the rehabilitation of community water supply systems	N/A		Cancelled
8.1.5 Facilitate establishment of water committees and sign MoUs with target communities and their local governments	N/A		Cancelled
8.1.6 Conduct water supply system operation and maintenance training for water committees in target communities	N/A		Cancelled
<b>Outcome 8: Sustainable reduction in risk of waterborne and water related diseases in targeted communities</b>	<b>Outputs</b>		<b>% of achievement</b>
	<b>Output 8.2:</b> Adequate sanitation which meets Sphere standards in terms of quantity and quality is provided to target population		75%
Activities	Is implementation on time?		% progress (estimate)
	Yes (x)	No (x)	
8.2.1 Undertake detailed assessments to identify schools and households whose latrines have been damaged	x		100%
8.2.2 Procure and distribute latrine construction materials, or the equivalent in cash, to target schools and households	x		80%
8.2.3 Mobilize community members to support rehabilitation of latrines in target schools and households	x		80%
8.2.4 Monitor the rehabilitation of latrines in target schools and households	x		70%
8.2.5 Mobilize community members for clean-up campaigns in displaced people camps, schools and communities	x		Cancelled
<b>Outcome 8: Sustainable reduction in risk of waterborne and water related diseases in targeted communities</b>	<b>Outputs</b>		<b>% of achievement</b>
	<b>Output 8.3:</b> Knowledge, attitude and practice on safe water, sanitation and hygiene by target population increased		100%
Activities	Is implementation on time?		% progress (estimate)
	Yes (x)	No (x)	
8.2.1 Conduct baseline surveys to determine the levels of awareness and practice on WASH in affected communities	x		To be reported in the final report
8.2.2 Mobilize and (re)train volunteers who will conduct PHAST sessions in target communities	x		100%
8.2.3 Reproduce IEC materials for PHAST sessions in target communities	x		100%

8.2.4 Conduct PHAST sessions in target communities	x		100%
8.2.5 Undertake end line surveys to determine the change in awareness and practice in communities reached	x		Not started
<b>Progress towards outcomes</b>			
Key achievements during the reporting period include construction of 350 household latrines and 30 school latrines, where 15 are in progress. The participatory hygiene and sanitation transformation (PHAST) intervention is ongoing with communities focusing on improving knowledge and sanitation behaviour in the intervention area. The construction of the school latrines will be closely monitored due to a lack of contractors which had somewhat impeded its progress. Consolidated information on baseline and end-line surveys will be reported in the final report.			
<b>Institutional disaster response capacity</b>			
<b>Outcome 9: National Society capacity to deliver on programmes and services in future disasters strengthened</b>	<b>Outputs</b>		<b>% of achievement</b>
	<b>Output 9.1:</b> MRCS branches in the flood-affected states and regions have improved volunteer management capacity		100%
<b>Activities</b>	<b>Is implementation on time?</b>		<b>% progress (estimate)</b>
	<b>Yes (x)</b>	<b>No (x)</b>	
9.1.1 Undertake recruitment and orientation of volunteers (village Red Cross teams)	x		100%
9.1.2 Provide branch staff with training in volunteer management	x		100%
9.1.3 Provide ECV and first aid training of trainers to branch volunteers	x		100%
<b>Outcome 9: National Society capacity to deliver on programmes and services in future disasters strengthened</b>	<b>Outputs</b>		<b>% of achievement</b>
	<b>Output 9.2:</b> MRCS branches in the flood-affected states and regions have improved facilities		70%
<b>Activities</b>	<b>Is implementation on time?</b>		<b>% progress (estimate)</b>
	<b>Yes (x)</b>	<b>No (x)</b>	
9.2.1 Renovate damaged office building(s)	x		In progress
9.2.2 Provide boats for transport and rescue purposes	x		100%
9.2.3 Provide essential office equipment to target branches	x		100%
<b>Outcome 9: National Society capacity to deliver on programmes and services in future disasters strengthened</b>	<b>Outputs</b>		<b>% of achievement</b>
	<b>Output 9.3</b> Capacity of MRCS staff and volunteers to respond to disasters is strengthened		80%
<b>Activities</b>	<b>Is implementation on time?</b>		<b>% progress (estimate)</b>
	<b>Yes (x)</b>	<b>No (x)</b>	
9.3.1 Conduct two ERT trainings in target states and two NDRT refresher trainings at the national level	x		100%
9.3.2 Enhance links between ECV and National Disaster Response Teams (NDRT) teams	x		In planning
9.3.3 Organize peer exchange visits to a sister National Society involved in a medium or large scale response	x		100%
<b>Outcome 9: National Society capacity to deliver on programmes and services in future disasters strengthened</b>	<b>Outputs</b>		<b>% of achievement</b>
	<b>Output 9.4:</b> MRCS relief response capacity is strengthened by pre-positioning of contingency relief stocks		100%
<b>Activities</b>	<b>Is implementation on time?</b>		<b>% progress (estimate)</b>
	<b>Yes (x)</b>	<b>No (x)</b>	
9.4.1 Procure and pre-position contingency relief stocks (family kits, hygiene kits and dignity kits) for 1,000 families	x		100%
<b>Progress towards outcomes</b>			
The capacity of MRCS has improved, taking CTP as an example where a lessons learned workshop was held in February in cooperation with the American Red Cross to better link with longer term programming as well as looking at policy and frameworks for cash within MRCS. Great leaps have been made and MRCS has gained more confidence in implementing cash-based interventions in emergencies as well as in recovery and longer term programmes. Emergency response team (ERT) training has been held where 37 volunteers from 5 different states and regions were trained in assessment and response, to be better prepared when future disasters and			

crisis hit their communities.			
<b>Disaster preparedness and risk reduction</b>			
<b>Outcome 10: Communities' resilience to disasters is protected and restored</b>	<b>Outputs</b>		<b>% of achievement</b>
	<b>Output 10.1:</b> Target communities have improved knowledge and skills to assess risk, plan and implement disaster risks management measures		80%
<b>Activities</b>		<b>Is implementation on time?</b>	
		<b>Yes (x)</b>	<b>No (x)</b>
10.1.1 Conduct awareness raising sessions on preventable risks in target schools and communities		x	
10.1.2 Facilitate community based risk reduction (CBRR) planning process in target schools and communities		x	
10.1.3 Support schools and communities to organize and mobilize for CBRR action		x	
10.1.4 Support implementation of climate-smart disaster mitigation measures at school and community levels		x	
<b>Outcome 10: Communities' resilience to disasters is protected and restored</b>	<b>Outputs</b>		<b>% of achievement</b>
	<b>Output 10.2:</b> Legal frameworks for disaster risk reduction, preparedness and response are strengthened		100%
<b>Activities</b>		<b>Is implementation on time?</b>	
		<b>Yes (x)</b>	<b>No (x)</b>
10.2.1 Support efforts aimed at strengthening the national legal framework for international disaster assistance and disaster risk reduction, including development of specialized customs procedure		x	
<b>Progress towards outcomes</b>			
Community based disaster risk reduction and school based disaster risk reduction interventions have commenced but has not been finalized yet. The aim is to finish these interventions before the middle of July.			

## Contact information

**For further information specifically related to this operation please contact:**

### Myanmar Red Cross Society

- U Khin Maung Hla, Secretary General; [ed-mrcs@myanmarredcross.org.mm](mailto:ed-mrcs@myanmarredcross.org.mm)
- Daw San San Maw, Director of Disaster Management department; [dm1@myanmarredcross.org.mm](mailto:dm1@myanmarredcross.org.mm)

### IFRC Myanmar country office

- Udaya Regmi, Head of Country Office; [udaya.regmi@ifrc.org](mailto:udaya.regmi@ifrc.org)
- Jesper Fridolf, Operations Manager; [jesper.fridolf@ifrc.org](mailto:jesper.fridolf@ifrc.org)

### IFRC Asia Pacific regional office

- Martin Faller, Acting Deputy Director; [martin.faller@ifrc.org](mailto:martin.faller@ifrc.org)
- Necephor Mghendi, Operations Coordinator; [necephor.mghendi@ifrc.org](mailto:necephor.mghendi@ifrc.org)
- Diana Ongiti, Relationship Manager, Emergencies; [diana.ongiti@ifrc.org](mailto:diana.ongiti@ifrc.org)
- Riku Assamaki, Regional Logistics Coordinator; [riku.assamaki@ifrc.org](mailto:riku.assamaki@ifrc.org)
- Patrick Fuller, Communications Manager; [patrick.fuller@ifrc.org](mailto:patrick.fuller@ifrc.org)
- Clarence Sim, Acting Head of Planning, Monitoring, Evaluation and Reporting; [clarence.sim@ifrc.org](mailto:clarence.sim@ifrc.org)

### Geneva Head Office

- Christine South, Operations Quality Assurance Senior Officer; [christine.south@ifrc.org](mailto:christine.south@ifrc.org)

↘ **Click here**

1. Interim financial statement **[below](#)**
2. Click **[here](#)** to return to the title page

## How we work

All IFRC assistance seeks to adhere to the Code of Conduct for the International Red Cross and Red Crescent Movement and Non-Governmental Organizations (NGO's) in Disaster Relief and the Humanitarian Charter and Minimum Standards in Disaster Response (Sphere) in delivering assistance to the most vulnerable.

The IFRC's vision is to inspire, encourage, facilitate and promote at all times all forms of humanitarian activities by National Societies, with a view to preventing and alleviating human suffering, and thereby contributing to the maintenance and promotion of human dignity and peace in the world.

[www.ifrc.org](http://www.ifrc.org)

**Saving lives, changing minds.**



The IFRC's work is guided by Strategy 2020 which puts forward three strategic aims:

1. Save lives, protect livelihoods, and strengthen recovery from disaster and crises.
2. Enable healthy and safe living.
3. Promote social inclusion and a culture of non-violence and peace.

## Disaster Response Financial Report

### MDRMM006 - Myanmar - Floods

Timeframe: 04 Aug 15 to 31 Aug 16

Appeal Launch Date: 11 Aug 15

Interim Report

Selected Parameters			
Reporting Timeframe	2015/8-2016/5	Programme	MDRMM006
Budget Timeframe	2015/8-2016/8	Budget	APPROVED
Split by funding source	Y	Project	*
Subsector:	*		

All figures are in Swiss Francs (CHF)

## I. Funding

	Raise humanitarian standards	Grow RC/RC services for vulnerable people	Strengthen RC/RC contribution to development	Heighten influence and support for RC/RC work	Joint working and accountability	TOTAL	Deferred Income
<b>A. Budget</b>		3,275,817				3,275,817	
<b>B. Opening Balance</b>							
<b>Income</b>							
<b>Cash contributions</b>							
<i>American Red Cross</i>		169,705				169,705	
<i>Australian Red Cross</i>		16,439				16,439	
<i>British Red Cross</i>		118,843				118,843	
<i>Danish Red Cross (from Danish Government*)</i>		36,000				36,000	
<i>Finnish Red Cross (from Finnish Government*)</i>		362,828				362,828	
<i>Italian Government Bilateral Emergency Fund</i>		269,802				269,802	
<i>Japanese Government</i>		387,385				387,385	
<i>Japanese Red Cross Society</i>		81,932				81,932	
<i>New Zealand Government</i>		159,375				159,375	
<i>Norwegian Red Cross</i>		103,856				103,856	
<i>Norwegian Red Cross (from Norwegian Government*)</i>		311,568				311,568	
<i>On Line donations (from Aland Islands - Private donors*)</i>		18				18	
<i>On Line donations (from Albania - Private donors*)</i>		1				1	
<i>On Line donations (from Andorra - Private Donors*)</i>		2				2	
<i>On Line donations (from Antigua And Barbuda - Private donors*)</i>		1				1	
<i>On Line donations (from Argentina - Private Donors*)</i>		1				1	
<i>On Line donations (from Australia - Private Donors*)</i>		303				303	
<i>On Line donations (from Austria - Private Donors*)</i>		10				10	
<i>On Line donations (from Azerbaijan Private Donors*)</i>		3				3	
<i>On Line donations (from Bahrain - Private Donors*)</i>		85				85	
<i>On Line donations (from Bangladesh - Private Donors*)</i>		1				1	
<i>On Line donations (from Barbados - Private Donors*)</i>		4				4	
<i>On Line donations (from Belgium - Private Donors*)</i>		50				50	
<i>On Line donations (from Bermuda - Private Donors*)</i>		20				20	
<i>On Line donations (from Bhutan - Private donors*)</i>		5				5	
<i>On Line donations (from Botswana - Private donors*)</i>		3				3	
<i>On Line donations (from Brazil - Private Donors*)</i>		97				97	
<i>On Line donations (from British Indian Ocean Territory - Private donors*)</i>		1				1	
<i>On Line donations (from Brunei - Private Donors*)</i>		250				250	
<i>On Line donations (from Bulgaria - Private Donors*)</i>		22				22	
<i>On Line donations (from Canada - Private Donors*)</i>		352				352	
<i>On Line donations (from Chile Private Donors*)</i>		2				2	
<i>On Line donations (from China - Private Donors*)</i>		150				150	
<i>On Line donations (from Costa Rica - Private Donors*)</i>		3				3	
<i>On Line donations (from Croatia - Private Donors*)</i>		7				7	
<i>On Line donations (from Cyprus - Private Donors*)</i>		193				193	
<i>On Line donations (from Czech private donors*)</i>		20				20	
<i>On Line donations (from Denmark - Private Donors*)</i>		20				20	
<i>On Line donations (from Dominican Republic - Private Donor*)</i>		1				1	
<i>On Line donations (from Dominica Private Donors*)</i>		1				1	
<i>On Line donations (from Ecuador - Private Donors*)</i>		22				22	
<i>On Line donations (from Egypt - Private Donors*)</i>		2				2	
<i>On Line donations (from Falkland Islands (Malvinas) - Private donors*)</i>		1				1	
<i>On Line donations (from Finland - Private Donors*)</i>		30				30	
<i>On Line donations (from France - Private Donors*)</i>		273				273	

## Disaster Response Financial Report

### MDRMM006 - Myanmar - Floods

Timeframe: 04 Aug 15 to 31 Aug 16

Appeal Launch Date: 11 Aug 15

#### Interim Report

On Line donations (from French Guiana - Private donors*)	23	23
On Line donations (from Germany - Private Donors*)	88	88
On Line donations (from Gibraltar - Private donors*)	7	7
On Line donations (from Great Britain - Private Donors*)	1,303	1,303
On Line donations (from Greece - Private Donors*)	20	20
On Line donations (from Guam - Private donors*)	1	1
On Line donations (from Guernsey - Private donors*)	3	3
On Line donations (from Hong Kong - Private Donors*)	117	117
On Line donations (from Hungarian - Private Donors*)	6	6
On Line donations (from India - Private Donors*)	262	262
On Line donations (from Indonesia - Private Donors*)	26	26
On Line donations (from Ireland - Private Donors*)	40	40
On Line donations (from Isle Of Man - Private donors*)	1	1
On Line donations (from Israel - Private Donors*)	5	5
On Line donations (from Italy - Private Donors*)	32	32
On Line donations (from Japan - Private Donors*)	66	66
On Line donations (from Jersey - Private donors*)	1	1
On Line donations (from Jordan - Private Donors*)	7	7
On Line donations (from Kazakhstan - Private Donors*)	44	44
On Line donations (from Kuwait - Private Donors*)	115	115
On Line donations (from Latvia - Private Donors*)	1	1
On Line donations (from Lebanese - Private Donors*)	9	9
On Line donations (from Lithuania - Private Donors*)	9	9
On Line donations (from Luxembourg - Private Donors*)	27	27
On Line donations (from Malaysia - Private Donors*)	434	434
On Line donations (from Malta - Private Donors*)	27	27
On Line donations (from Mauritius Private Donors*)	1	1
On Line donations (from Mexico - Private Donors*)	20	20
On Line donations (from Myanmar - Private Donors*)	5	5
On Line donations (from Netherlands - Private Donors*)	23	23
On Line donations (from New Caledonia Private Donors*)	9	9
On Line donations (from New Zealand - Private Donors*)	66	66
On Line donations (from Norway - Private Donors*)	61	61
On Line donations (from Oman - Private Donors*)	8	8
On Line donations (from Pakistan Private Donors*)	66	66
On Line donations (from Panama Private donors*)	168	168
On Line donations (from Peru - Private Donors*)	5	5
On Line donations (from Philippines - Private Donors*)	25	25
On Line donations (from Poland - Private Donors*)	75	75
On Line donations (from Portuguese - Private Donors*)	32	32
On Line donations (from Puerto Rico - Private donors*)	21	21
On Line donations (from Qatar Private Donors*)	36	36
On Line donations (from Republic of Korea - Private Donors*)	9	9
On Line donations (from Reunion - Private donors*)	7	7
On Line donations (from Romania Private Donors*)	24	24
On Line donations (from Russia - Private Donors*)	51	51
On Line donations (from Saudi Arabia - Private Donors*)	38	38
On Line donations (from Singapore - Private Donors*)	612	612
On Line donations (from Slovenia - Private Donors*)	1	1

All figures are in Swiss Francs (CHF)

#### Selected Parameters

Reporting Timeframe	2015/8-2016/5	Programme	MDRMM006
Budget Timeframe	2015/8-2016/8	Budget	APPROVED
Split by funding source	Y	Project	*
Subsector:	*		

## Disaster Response Financial Report

### MDRMM006 - Myanmar - Floods

Timeframe: 04 Aug 15 to 31 Aug 16

Appeal Launch Date: 11 Aug 15

#### Interim Report

Selected Parameters			
Reporting Timeframe	2015/8-2016/5	Programme	MDRMM006
Budget Timeframe	2015/8-2016/8	Budget	APPROVED
Split by funding source	Y	Project	*
Subsector:	*		
All figures are in Swiss Francs (CHF)			
On Line donations (from South Africa - Private Donors*)	23	23	
On Line donations (from Spain - Private Donors*)	35	35	
On Line donations (from Sri Lanka - Private Donors*)	2	2	
On Line donations (from Swedish - Private Donors*)	22	22	
On Line donations (from Switzerland - Private Donors*)	271	271	
On Line donations (from Syria Private Donors*)	20	20	
On Line donations (from Taiwan - Private Donors*)	101	101	
On Line donations (from Thailand - Private Donors*)	70	70	
On Line donations (from Trinidad & Tobago - Private Donors*)	177	177	
On Line donations (from Turkey - Private Donors*)	60	60	
On Line donations (from Ukraine private donors*)	3	3	
On Line donations (from Unidentified donor*)	580	580	
On Line donations (from United Arab Emirates - Private Donors*)	1,027	1,027	
On Line donations (from United States - Private Donors*)	12,998	12,998	
On Line donations (from Vietnam - Private Donors*)	2	2	
Poland Government	75,672	75,672	26,387
Red Cross of Monaco	10,795	10,795	
Swedish Red Cross	380,736	380,736	
The Canadian Red Cross Society (from Canadian Government*)	115,618	115,618	
The Netherlands Red Cross (from Netherlands Government*)	377,752	377,752	
<b>Total</b>	<b>98,001</b>	<b>98,001</b>	
<b>C1. Cash contributions</b>	<b>3,097,686</b>	<b>3,097,689</b>	<b>26,387</b>
<b>Inkind Goods &amp; Transport</b>			
Finnish Red Cross (from Finnish Government*)	39,766	39,766	
<b>C2. Inkind Goods &amp; Transport</b>	<b>39,766</b>	<b>39,766</b>	
<b>Inkind Personnel</b>			
Swedish Red Cross	39,670	39,670	
<b>C3. Inkind Personnel</b>	<b>39,670</b>	<b>39,670</b>	
<b>Other Income</b>			
Fundraising Fees	-4,900	-4,900	
<b>C4. Other Income</b>	<b>-4,900</b>	<b>-4,900</b>	
<b>C. Total Income = SUM(C1..C4)</b>	<b>3,172,225</b>	<b>3,172,225</b>	<b>26,387</b>
<b>D. Total Funding = B +C</b>	<b>3,172,225</b>	<b>3,172,225</b>	<b>26,387</b>

\* Funding source data based on information provided by the donor

## II. Movement of Funds

	Raise humanitarian standards	Grow RC/RC services for vulnerable people	Strengthen RC/RC contribution to development	Heighten influence and support for RC/RC work	Joint working and accountability	TOTAL	Deferred Income
<b>B. Opening Balance</b>							
<b>C. Income</b>		3,172,225				3,172,225	26,387
<b>E. Expenditure</b>		-2,637,755				-2,637,755	
<b>F. Closing Balance = (B + C + E)</b>		534,470				534,470	26,387

## Disaster Response Financial Report

### MDRMM006 - Myanmar - Floods

Timeframe: 04 Aug 15 to 31 Aug 16

Appeal Launch Date: 11 Aug 15

Interim Report

Selected Parameters			
Reporting Timeframe	2015/8-2016/5	Programme	MDRMM006
Budget Timeframe	2015/8-2016/8	Budget	APPROVED
Split by funding source	Y	Project	*
Subsector:	*		

All figures are in Swiss Francs (CHF)

## III. Expenditure

Account Groups	Budget	Expenditure					TOTAL	Variance
		Raise humanitarian standards	Grow RC/RC services for vulnerable people	Strengthen RC/RC contribution to development	Heighten influence and support for RC/RC work	Joint working and accountability		
	A					B	A - B	
<b>BUDGET (C)</b>			<b>3,275,817</b>			<b>3,275,817</b>		
<b>Relief items, Construction, Supplies</b>								
Shelter - Relief	201,780		174,083			174,083	27,697	
Clothing & Textiles	82,250		74,946			74,946	7,304	
Water, Sanitation & Hygiene	379,615		250,256			250,256	129,359	
Medical & First Aid	3,400		21,999			21,999	-18,599	
Utensils & Tools	7,710		17,944			17,944	-10,234	
Other Supplies & Services	320,420		124,989			124,989	195,431	
Cash Disbursement	938,279		911,046			911,046	27,233	
<b>Total Relief items, Construction, Sup</b>	<b>1,933,454</b>		<b>1,575,262</b>			<b>1,575,262</b>	<b>358,192</b>	
<b>Land, vehicles &amp; equipment</b>								
Vehicles	10,000		6,483			6,483	3,517	
Computers & Telecom	21,426		17,420			17,420	4,006	
Office & Household Equipment	3,200		9,063			9,063	-5,863	
<b>Total Land, vehicles &amp; equipment</b>	<b>34,626</b>		<b>32,966</b>			<b>32,966</b>	<b>1,660</b>	
<b>Logistics, Transport &amp; Storage</b>								
Storage	2,500		3,045			3,045	-545	
Distribution & Monitoring	64,682		45,097			45,097	19,585	
Transport & Vehicles Costs	32,400		8,009			8,009	24,391	
Logistics Services	22,500		31,241			31,241	-8,741	
<b>Total Logistics, Transport &amp; Storage</b>	<b>122,082</b>		<b>87,392</b>			<b>87,392</b>	<b>34,690</b>	
<b>Personnel</b>								
International Staff	334,650		209,305			209,305	125,345	
National Staff	2,000		516			516	1,484	
National Society Staff	156,109		59,621			59,621	96,488	
Volunteers	47,540		10,005			10,005	37,535	
<b>Total Personnel</b>	<b>540,299</b>		<b>279,446</b>			<b>279,446</b>	<b>260,853</b>	
<b>Consultants &amp; Professional Fees</b>								
Consultants	29,350		17,714			17,714	11,636	
<b>Total Consultants &amp; Professional Fees</b>	<b>29,350</b>		<b>17,714</b>			<b>17,714</b>	<b>11,636</b>	
<b>Workshops &amp; Training</b>								
Workshops & Training	172,050		30,625			30,625	141,425	
<b>Total Workshops &amp; Training</b>	<b>172,050</b>		<b>30,625</b>			<b>30,625</b>	<b>141,425</b>	
<b>General Expenditure</b>								
Travel	50,450		37,704			37,704	12,746	
Information & Public Relations	71,270		6,575			6,575	64,695	
Office Costs	60,850		27,404			27,404	33,446	
Communications	13,450		3,968			3,968	9,482	
Financial Charges	8,115		9,915			9,915	-1,800	
Other General Expenses	6,500		24			24	6,476	
Shared Office and Services Costs	32,568		47,892			47,892	-15,324	
<b>Total General Expenditure</b>	<b>243,203</b>		<b>133,483</b>			<b>133,483</b>	<b>109,720</b>	
<b>Operational Provisions</b>								
Operational Provisions			304,383			304,383	-304,383	
<b>Total Operational Provisions</b>			<b>304,383</b>			<b>304,383</b>	<b>-304,383</b>	
<b>Indirect Costs</b>								
Programme & Services Support Recover	199,879		157,231			157,231	42,648	
<b>Total Indirect Costs</b>	<b>199,879</b>		<b>157,231</b>			<b>157,231</b>	<b>42,648</b>	

**Disaster Response Financial Report****MDRMM006 - Myanmar - Floods**

Timeframe: 04 Aug 15 to 31 Aug 16

Appeal Launch Date: 11 Aug 15

Interim Report

**Selected Parameters**

Reporting Timeframe	2015/8-2016/5	Programme	MDRMM006
Budget Timeframe	2015/8-2016/8	Budget	APPROVED
Split by funding source	Y	Project	*
Subsector:	*		

All figures are in Swiss Francs (CHF)

**III. Expenditure**

Account Groups	Budget	Expenditure					TOTAL	Variance
		Raise humanitarian standards	Grow RC/RC services for vulnerable people	Strengthen RC/RC contribution to development	Heighten influence and support for RC/RC work	Joint working and accountability		
	A					B	A - B	
<b>BUDGET (C)</b>			<b>3,275,817</b>			<b>3,275,817</b>		
<b>Pledge Specific Costs</b>								
Pledge Earmarking Fee	874		17,452			17,452	-16,579	
Pledge Reporting Fees			1,800			1,800	-1,800	
<b>Total Pledge Specific Costs</b>	<b>874</b>		<b>19,252</b>			<b>19,252</b>	<b>-18,379</b>	
<b>TOTAL EXPENDITURE (D)</b>	<b>3,275,817</b>		<b>2,637,755</b>			<b>2,637,755</b>	<b>638,062</b>	
<b>VARIANCE (C - D)</b>			<b>638,062</b>			<b>638,062</b>		